



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/808,331	03/14/2001	Philip J. Lin	TEL4597P0061US	3858	
75	590 05/27/2003				
WELSH & KATZ, LTD.			EXAMINER		
120 SOUTH RIVERSIDE PLAZA 22ND FLOOR			KIANNI, K	KIANNI, KAVEH C	
CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2877		

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M .
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/808,331	LIN, PHILIP J.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin C Kianni	2877	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of t (1) a timely filed amendr	his application. A proper reply nent which places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a)	or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Ottimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	s Advisory Action, or (2) the delater than SIX MONTHS from AS FILED WITHIN TWO MOINE date on which the petition of extension and the correspond the shortened statutory periffice later than three months a	m the mailing date of the final rejection NTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the approposition of the fee. The approposition for reply originally set in the final of the for reply originally set in the final of the for reply originally set in the final of the final rejection of the final reje	on. See MPEP Opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	t's Brief must be filed wi FR 1.191(d)), to avoid d	thin the period set forth in ismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/o	r search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appea	al by materially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected claims	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submit	ted in a separate, timely filed a	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	or reconsideration has b	een considered but does NOT	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed	SOLELY to issues which were	enewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)⊠ will not be ent vould be rejected is prov	ered or b) will be entered a vided below or appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>63</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>41-44,57-62,64 and 65</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 67-75.			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b)[disapproved by the Examin	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		•	

Frank G. Font
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

10. Other: ____

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the insertion of the limitaion (N1/Kx N2/K) raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or new search .